### UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

Region I / New England 1 Congress Street, Suite 1100 Boston, MA 02114-2023

RECEIVED

2008 JUL 15 P 3: 48

July 15, 2008

EPA ORC OFFICE OF REGIONAL HEARING CLERK

Wanda Santiago Regional Hearing Clerk U.S. Environmental Protection Agency – Region I 1 Congress Street, Suite 1100 (RAA) Boston, MA 02114-2023

RE: In the Matter of Raw Seafoods, Inc. Docket No. EPACRA-01-2008-0073

Dear Mrs. Santiago:

Enclosed for filing please find the original and one copy of an Administrative Complaint under Section 312 of ECPRA and Notice of Opportunity for Hearing. Additionally, the original Certificate of Service is enclosed.

Sincerely,

My John O' Donnell

Office of Site Remediation and Restoration

Phone: 617-918-1371 Fax: 617-918-0371

**Enclosures** 

# UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY REGION 1 RECEIVED

	2000
In the Matter of:	
	) Docket No. EPCRA-01-2008-0073 ORC
Raw Seafoods, Inc.	) REGIONAL HEARING CLERK
481 Currant Road	) ADMINISTRATIVE COMPLAINT
Fall River, MA 02720	AND
	NOTICE OF
	OPPORTUNITY FOR HEARING
Respondent.	)
그리는 전에 살아보는 사람들은 경기에 가면 되었다면 하는 것이 되었다. 그리고 그렇게 되었다.	

#### Statutory and Regulatory Basis

This is a civil administrative action issued under the authority of Section 325(c) of Title III of the Superfund Amendments and Reauthorization Act, 42 U.S.C. § 11045(c) (also known as the Emergency Planning and Community Right-to-Know Act of 1986, hereinafter "EPCRA"), and the Consolidated Rules of Practice Governing the Administrative Assessment of Civil Penalties, Issuance of Compliance or Corrective Action Orders, and the Revocation, Termination or Suspension of Permits, 40 C.F.R. Part 22 ("Part 22"). Complainant is the Manager of the Enforcement Unit of the Office of Environmental Stewardship, United States Environmental Protection Agency, Region 1 ("Complainant"). This Complaint alleges that Raw Seafoods, Inc. ("Respondent") violated Section 312(a) of EPCRA, 42 U.S.C. § 11022(a), and the federal regulations that set out in greater detail these statutory requirements, 40 C.F.R. Part 370.

Under Section 312(a) of EPCRA and 40 C.F.R. §§370.20 and 370.25, any facility that is required to prepare or have available a material safety data sheet ("MSDS") for a hazardous chemical under the Occupational Safety and Health Act of 1970 and regulations promulgated thereunder ("OSHA") must prepare and submit an emergency and hazardous chemical inventory

form (Tier I or Tier II form) to the local emergency planning committee ("LEPC"), the state emergency response commission ("SERC"), and the local fire department. The Tier I or Tier II form must be submitted annually on or before March 1 and is required to contain information with respect to the preceding calendar year.<sup>1</sup>

Section 325(c) of EPCRA, 42 U.S.C. § 11045(c), as amended by EPA's Civil Monetary Penalty Inflation Adjustment Rule, 40 C.F.R. Part 19, provides that any person who violates any requirement of Section 312 after March 15, 2004 shall be liable to the United States for a civil penalty in an amount not to exceed \$32,500 per day for each such violation.

#### General Allegations and Statement of Facts

- Respondent is a corporation incorporated under the laws of the Commonwealth of Massachusetts with a place of business at 481 Currant Road, Fall River, MA 02720.
- 2. Respondent owns and operates a facility that processes and freezes seafood at 481 Currant Road, Fall River, MA 02720 (the "facility"). The facility is located in close proximity to a residential area.
- 3. On or about March 8, 2007, authorized representatives of EPA inspected Respondent's facility. The purpose of the inspection was to determine Respondent's compliance with EPCRA reporting requirements.
- 4. Respondent is a "person," as that term is defined by Section 329(7) of EPCRA, 42 U.S.C. §11049(7).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>As of February 26 1998, the Massachusetts SERC accepts only Tier II forms in satisfaction of the requirements of EPCRA Sections 311 and 312.

- 5. Respondent is an owner or operator of a "facility," as that term is defined by Section 329(4) of EPCRA, 42 U.S.C. §11049(4), and 40 C.F.R. §372.3.
- 6. At all times relevant to the violations cited herein, Respondent stored nitrogen, a hazardous chemical, as defined in 40 C.F.R. § 370.2, in quantities that exceed its regulatory threshold (10,000 pounds), as specified in 40 C.F. R. § 370.20 (b)(4), at the facility.
- 7. At all times relevant to the violations cited herein, Respondent stored sulfuric acid, an extremely hazardous substance listed in 40 C.F. R. Part 355, App. A, in quantities that exceed its minimum threshold level (500 pounds), as specified in 40 C.F. R. Part 370.20(b)(1), at the facility.
- 8. At all times relevant to the violations cited herein, Respondent was required, pursuant to OSHA, to prepare or have available MSDS for nitrogen and sulfuric acid onsite.

#### Count I

- 9. Respondent was required to prepare and submit an emergency and hazardous chemical inventory (Tier II) form to the SERC, LEPC and the local fire department with jurisdiction over the facility in order to report the data required by Section 312(d) of EPCRA, 42 U.S.C. §11022(d), for calendar year 2006 on or before March 1, 2007.
- 10. Respondent failed to prepare and submit a Tier II form by March 1, 2007 to the SERC, LEPC and the local fire department, in violation of the reporting requirements of Section 312(a) of EPCRA, 42 U.S.C. §11022(a), and 40 C.F.R. §§370.20 and 370.25.
- 11. Respondent is therefore subject to an assessment of penalties under Section 325(c)(1) of EPCRA, 42 U.S.C. §11045(c)(1), and 40 C.F.R. Part 19.

#### Proposed Civil Penalty

- 12. Section 325(c) of EPCRA, 42 U.S.C. §11045(c), 40 C.F.R. §§370.5(b) and 372.18, and 40 C.F.R. Part 19, provide that any person who violates any requirement of Section 312 after March 15, 2004 shall be liable to the United States for a civil penalty in an amount not to exceed \$32,500 per day for each violation. Failure to report in a timely manner, as required by Section 312, may deprive the community of its right to know about chemicals used or stored near or in the neighborhood that may affect public health and the environment, and may prevent comprehensive planning by federal, state and local authorities to properly prepare for accidental chemical releases.
- 13. The proposed civil penalty has been determined in accordance with Section 325(c) of EPCRA, 42 U.S.C. § 11045(c). For purposes of determining the amount of any penalty to be assessed, EPA considered the nature, circumstances, extent and gravity of the violations, and with respect to the Respondent, its ability to pay, prior history of violations, degree of culpability, economic benefit or savings resulting from the violation, and such other matters as justice may require. To develop the proposed penalty in this complaint, the Complainant has taken into account the particular facts and circumstances of this case with specific reference to EPA's "Enforcement Response Policy for Sections 304, 311 and 312 of the Emergency Planning and Community Right-to-Know Act and Section 103 of the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act" (Amended May 3, 2005) ("ERP"), a copy of which is enclosed with this Complaint. This policy provides a rational, consistent and equitable calculation methodology for applying the statutory penalty factors enumerated above to particular cases.
- 14. Pursuant to Part V of the ERP, the first stage of calculating a penalty requires the determination of the "extent" level of the violation and the second stage concerns the "gravity"

level of the violation. The "extent" of the violation alleged in Count I was determined to be "Level 1" because Respondent failed to submit the Tier II chemical inventory form to the SERC, LEPC or fire department within 30 calendar days of the reporting deadline. The "gravity" of the violation alleged in Count I was determined to be "Level B" because the amount of the hazardous chemical not included in the Tier II chemical inventory form was greater than 5, but less than or equal to 10 times the reporting threshold.

- 15. Under the ERP, EPA has discretion to select an amount within the range specified in the appropriate matrix box. Respondent's failure to submit the Tier II form was determined to fall in the mid-point of the Level 1-B matrix box, based on the circumstances of the violation, resulting in a penalty of \$20,149. Pursuant to section VII.F., an adjustment to the base penalty for Count I was made, based on the size of Respondent's business, resulting in a 15% reduction of the base penalty to \$17,100.
- 16. After consideration of the Respondent's failure to voluntarily disclose the violations, its lack of a history of prior violations, degree of culpability, and economic benefit, the Complainant proposes no further adjustments to the gravity-based penalty amounts for the Section 312 violations.
- 17. The proposed penalty as stated in this Complaint was developed based on the best information available to the Agency at this time and may be adjusted if the Respondent establishes bona fide issues of ability to pay or other defenses relevant to the appropriate amount of the proposed penalty.

18. Based upon the violations cited in this Complaint, and taking into account the nature, circumstances and gravity of these violations, the Complainant proposes that Respondent be assessed a civil penalty in the amount of \$17,100 for the violations alleged in this Complaint.

## NOTICE OF OPPORTUNITY TO REQUEST A HEARING

Respondent has the right to request a formal hearing to contest any material fact set forth in this Complaint or to contest the appropriateness of the proposed penalty. Any such hearing would be conducted in accordance with Part 22, a copy of which is enclosed with this Complaint.

To avoid being found in default, which constitutes an admission of all facts alleged in the Complaint and a waiver of the right to a hearing, and having the above-cited penalty assessed without further proceedings, Respondent must file a written Answer within thirty (30) days of Respondent's receipt of this Complaint. The Answer must clearly and directly admit, deny, or explain each of the factual allegations contained in this Complaint with regard to which Respondent has any knowledge. If Respondent has no knowledge of a particular fact and so states, the allegation is considered denied. Failure to deny an allegation constitutes an admission. Respondent's Answer must also state all facts and circumstances, if any, which constitute grounds for a defense and, if desired, must specifically request an administrative hearing. If Respondent denies any material fact or raises any affirmative defense, Respondent will be considered to have requested a hearing. The Answer must be sent to:

Wanda Santiago
Regional Hearing Clerk (RAA)
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
Region I
One Congress Street, Suite 1100
Boston, Massachusetts 02114-2023

ADMINISTRATIVE COMPLAINT In re Raw Seafoods Inc.
Docket No. EPCRA-01-2008-0073

6

US EPA, Region I 1 Congress St., Suite 1100 Boston, MA 02114-2023 Respondent should also send a copy of the Answer and all other documents which

Respondent files in this action to Mary Jane O'Donnell, the attorney assigned to represent EPA in
this matter, at:

Mary Jane O'Donnell, Esq.
Office of Site Remediation and Restoration (HBT)
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
Region I
One Congress Street, Suite 1100
Boston, Massachusetts 02114-2023

#### Informal Settlement Conference

Whether or not Respondent requests a hearing, Respondent may confer informally with Leonard Wallace, an environmental scientist in EPA Region 1's Office of Environmental Stewardship, (617) 918-1835, concerning the facts of this case, or the amount of the proposed penalty, and the possibility of settlement. Respondent's attorney is encouraged to contact Mary Jane O'Donnell, EPA attorney, at (617) 918-1371, to discuss the legal matters relating to this Complaint or to arrange an informal settlement conference.

Please note that a request for an informal settlement conference does not enlarge the thirty-day period within which a written Answer must be submitted to avoid default.

Payment of the civil penalty alone does not satisfy Respondent's legal obligation to file complete and accurate emergency and hazardous chemical inventory forms (Tier I or Tier II forms). If Respondent chooses to remit the proposed penalty, it is still under a legal duty to submit complete and accurate Tier I or Tier II forms. Failure or refusal to file such forms may subject Respondent to additional civil penalties of up to \$32,500 per day of violation.

ADMINISTRATIVE COMPLAINT In re Raw Seafoods Inc. Docket No. EPCRA-01-2008-0073 7

Mary Jane O'Donnell, EPA attorney, at the above address and telephone, has been designated to represent Complainant and is authorized to receive service of process in this action.

7/9/08

oel Blumstein

Acting Manager, Enforcement Unit Office of Environmental Stewardship

U.S. EPA, Region 1

## UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY REGION I

In the Matter of:	
)	Docket No. EPCRA-01-2008-0073
Raw Seafoods, Inc.	
481 Currant Road )	
Fall River, MA 02720	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE
Respondent.	

I hereby certify that the foregoing Administrative Complaint and Notice of Opportunity for a Hearing has been sent to the following persons on the date noted below:

Original and One Copy (Hand-Delivered):

Wanda Santiago

Regional Hearing Clerk (RAA) U.S. Environment Protection

Agency, Region I

One Congress Street, Suite 1100

Boston, MA 02114-2023

Copy, including 40 C.F.R. Part 22 and Section 312 ERP (Certified Mail, Return Receipt Requested): Jason K. Hutchens, President

Raw Seafoods, Inc. 481 Currant Road Fall River, MA 02720

Dated: 7/18/08

Mary Jane O'Donnell, Esq.

Office of Site Remediation & Restoration (HBT)

U.S. Environmental Protection

Agency, Region I

One Congress Street, Suite 1100 Boston, Massachusetts 02114-2023

Tel. (617) 918-1371 Fax (617) 918-0371

odonnell.maryjane@epa.gov